

<b>P20-12 Non-Cost Specifications</b> <b>Max Points Available: 30</b>		<b>US Foods</b>		<b>Gordons</b>		<b>Sysco</b>
<b>Criteria / Points Available</b>	<b>Points</b>		<b>Points</b>		<b>Points</b>	
<b>Methodology &amp; Scope of Work</b> <b>(Technical Proposal) (5.0 Special T&amp;C)</b> <b>Points Available: 15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>Excellent - 100%</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>Excellent - 100%</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>Very Good - 90%</b> (Overall proposal presentation and organization was lacking)
<b>Vendor Profile</b> <b>(Vendor Questionnaire, References)</b> <b>Points Available: 15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>Excellent - 100%</b>	<b>13.5</b>	<b>Very Good - 90%</b> (Only received one response back from 3 references contacted)	<b>15</b>	<b>Excellent - 100%</b>
<b>Total Points</b>		<b>30</b>		<b>28.5</b>		<b>28.5</b>
<b>Notes:</b> <b>Non-Cost Determined Utilizing Scoring Matrix Relative to Points Available:</b> Excellent=100%, Very Good= 90%, Good=80%, Adequate=70%, Marginal=60%, Poor=25%, Unacceptable=0%						

<b>P20-12 Cost</b> <b>Max Points Available: 70</b>	<b>US Foods</b>	<b>Gordons</b>	<b>Sysco</b>
<b>Total Cost (Equalized)</b>	<b>\$2,251,631.24</b>	<b>\$2,138,269.16</b>	Sysco's pricing was evaluated but not considered for award after final evaluations and the decision to move forward with the top two vendors that provided the overall best value as deemed in the best interest to FCS.
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b> <b>Cost Evaluation Formula:</b> (Lowest Price/R-where "R" represents the cost of proposal currently being ranked) x Points = Score.)	<b>66.5</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>N/A</b>

**RFP P20-12 Food & Beverage-Summary of Final Award**

	US Foods	Gordons	Sysco
Non- Cost Points (30 Available)	30	28.5	28.5
Cost Points (70 Available)	66.5	70	N/A
Total	96.5	98.5	N/A
Notes	Awarded contract for select items as per section 4.1(b) of the special terms and conditions of the contract.	Awarded contract for select items as per section 4.1(b) of the special terms and conditions of the contract.	Sysco's pricing was evaluated but not considered for award after final evaluations and the decision to move forward with the top two vendors that provided the overall best value as deemed in the best interest to FCS.